




B. FLORKIEWICZ
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 19F: ANTHRO 4 DIS 1A: CULTURE&COMMUNICATN
 No. of responses = 11
 Enrollment = 18
 Response Rate = 61.11%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?




2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.82 md=9 dev.=0.4
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.91 md=9 dev.=0.3
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never	0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.18 md=9 dev.=1.83
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 3 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.18 md=9 dev.=1.78
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 11 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 11 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 2 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.45 md=9 dev.=1.21
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.64 md=9 dev.=0.67

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:


3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	3 8 0 1 2 3	High	n=11 av.=1.73 md=2 dev.=0.47
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow	0 10 1 1 2 3	Too Much	n=11 av.=2.09 md=2 dev.=0.3
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor	0 5 6 1 2 3	Excellent	n=11 av.=2.55 md=3 dev.=0.52
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor	1 7 3 1 2 3	Excellent	n=11 av.=2.18 md=2 dev.=0.6
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor	0 6 5 1 2 3	Excellent	n=11 av.=2.45 md=2 dev.=0.52

3.6) Graded materials, examinations




n=10
 av.=2.6
 md=3
 dev.=0.52
 ab.=1

3.7) Lecture presentations



n=10
 av.=2.5
 md=3
 dev.=0.71
 ab.=1

3.8) Class discussions



n=11
 av.=2.73
 md=3
 dev.=0.47

4. Comments:

4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.

- Brit is a great TA. She's very organized and accommodating to the students. By that I mean that she specifically tailored each discussion to fit our needs of the class and allowed us to openly voice our concerns if we struggled - and she gladly made sure we clearly understood the concept. Absolutely loved her!!
- Britt is an unbelievable TA. She is warm and kindhearted and keep everything real. I appreciate her honesty and her willingness to help!
- Brittany is one of the best TAs I have ever had! She is so understanding and reasonable. She offers her time to review and provide feedback for all of our work, something that other TAs do not necessarily do. Also, she responded to all of my emails immediately, which shows that she really cares about helping her students!
- I really liked her as my TA, she cared about students and truly helped a lot with my understanding of the class and with navigating the class
- She tried her best to help the students given the poorly organized nature of the class itself. She really wanted students to do well.
- very helpful with explaining concepts and helping students to truly understand what they made mistakes on in homework assignments; the course itself mainly just focused on a singular project and I felt as though there was just focus on the project and not learning material

Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO
 Name of the instructor: B. FLORKIEWICZ
 Name of the course: 19F: ANTHRO 4 DIS 1A: CULTURE&COMMUNICATN
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.82
2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.91
2.3) Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.18
2.4) Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.18
2.5) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=11	av.=9.00
2.6) Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=11	av.=9.00
2.7) Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.45
2.8) Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.64

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low									High	n=11	av.=1.73
3.2) Workload/pace was	Too Slow									Too Much	n=11	av.=2.09
3.3) Integration of section with course was	Poor									Excellent	n=11	av.=2.55
3.4) Texts, required readings	Poor									Excellent	n=11	av.=2.18
3.5) Homework assignments	Poor									Excellent	n=11	av.=2.45
3.6) Graded materials, examinations	Poor									Excellent	n=10	av.=2.60
3.7) Lecture presentations	Poor									Excellent	n=10	av.=2.50
3.8) Class discussions	Poor									Excellent	n=11	av.=2.73