





B. FLORKIEWICZ
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 19F: ANTHRO 4 DIS 1B: CULTURE&COMMUNICATN
 No. of responses = 8
 Enrollment = 18
 Response Rate = 44.44%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?




2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=8 av.=8.63 md=9 dev.=0.74
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=8 av.=8.88 md=9 dev.=0.35
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=8 av.=8.63 md=9 dev.=0.74
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=8 av.=8.75 md=9 dev.=0.46
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=8 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=8 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=8 av.=8.63 md=9 dev.=1.06
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=8 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0


3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	1 7 0 1 2 3	High	n=8 av.=1.88 md=2 dev.=0.35
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow	1 4 3 1 2 3	Too Much	n=8 av.=2.25 md=2 dev.=0.71
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor	0 3 5 1 2 3	Excellent	n=8 av.=2.63 md=3 dev.=0.52
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor	1 4 3 1 2 3	Excellent	n=8 av.=2.25 md=2 dev.=0.71
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor	0 5 3 1 2 3	Excellent	n=8 av.=2.38 md=2 dev.=0.52


3.6) Graded materials, examinations



3.7) Lecture presentations



3.8) Class discussions



4. Comments:

4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.

- Britt was an incredible TA, highly knowledgeable and clearly concerned with student's education. She hopes to be a teacher one day and I would most definitely give a whole-hearted recommendation for her to fulfill these hopes.
- Britt was an outstanding TA, and I am so lucky to have been taught by her this quarter. This fall, the professor for Anthro 4 has assigned a very large and challenging final research project, but Britt has done a great job of clarifying all of the different expectations, due dates, and components of the project. She always makes herself available to answer questions via email, in office hours, and by appointment. She also does a great job of clarifying lecture material and homework assignments in section. Overall, I think she was an amazing TA and I don't think I would have been as successful in this class if it hadn't been for all of Britt's help.
- Brittany is the best TA ever. She cares about what your learning and takes a difficult subject such as IPA and breaks it down so that everyone in the class was able to understand what was going on.
- Great TA! Really cares about her students and is willing to go above and beyond to help us.
- I could not have asked for a better TA! She was on top of everything, always giving ample notice if there were any changes and so willing to help us succeed in the course. You could truly tell that she has a passion for what she teaches and that she wants every student to understand the material to the best of their abilities. I could not give a higher recommendation for a TA!
- The weakness was not being able to communicate to the other TA's and the professor about what the clear instructions and requirements in a timely fashion but she tried her best to get back to us as soon as possible.
- There are nothing but great things to say about Britt. She always provided students with clear and coherent instructions for each homework assignment, which eased a lot of stress because we knew exactly what she was grading. She is very understanding and did an excellent job of explaining difficult topics from the lecture in the discussion. She reassured us that there was nothing to stress about for the class. Her flexible office hours made me see that she genuinely cares for her students and their overall performance in the class. She made the class so much easier for me.
- With how disorganized the professor and the course itself is, Britt did such a good job as a TA. She always sent out mass emails clarifying commonly asked questions. Additionally, every time anyone in my group would email Britt, she replied so fast. She is a helpful resource when you feel super confused which is always with this course. And most importantly, Britt cares about our success in the course. She would give us so many tips to maximize our grades. I felt like she truly cared about her students.

Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO
 Name of the instructor: B. FLORKIEWICZ
 Name of the course: 19F: ANTHRO 4 DIS 1B: CULTURE&COMMUNICATN
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.63
2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.88
2.3) Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.63
2.4) Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.75
2.5) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=9.00
2.6) Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=9.00
2.7) Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.63
2.8) Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=9.00

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low								High	n=8	av.=1.88
3.2) Workload/pace was	Too Slow								Too Much	n=8	av.=2.25
3.3) Integration of section with course was	Poor								Excellent	n=8	av.=2.63
3.4) Texts, required readings	Poor								Excellent	n=8	av.=2.25
3.5) Homework assignments	Poor								Excellent	n=8	av.=2.38
3.6) Graded materials, examinations	Poor								Excellent	n=8	av.=2.38
3.7) Lecture presentations	Poor								Excellent	n=8	av.=2.38
3.8) Class discussions	Poor								Excellent	n=8	av.=2.75