





**B. FLORKIEWICZ**  
**Evaluation of Instruction Program Report**  
 20W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1L: HUMAN EVOLUTION  
 No. of responses = 2  
 Enrollment = 5  
 Response Rate = 40%

1. Background Information:


<sup>1.1)</sup> Year in School:



<sup>1.2)</sup> UCLA GPA:



<sup>1.3)</sup> Expected Grade:



<sup>1.4)</sup> What requirements does this course fulfill?



## 2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge â€œ The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern â€œ The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.3)	Organization â€œ Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.4)	Scope â€œ The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.5)	Interaction â€œ Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.6)	Communication Skills â€œ The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.7)	Value â€œ The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=2 av.=8.5 md=8.5 dev.=0.71
2.8)	Overall â€œ What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0

## 3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	0 2 0 1 2 3	High	n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow	0 2 0 1 2 3	Too Much	n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor	0 0 2 1 2 3	Excellent	n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor	0 2 0 1 2 3	Excellent	n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0

3.5)	Homework assignments		n=2 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.71
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations		n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.7)	Lecture presentations		n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0
3.8)	Class discussions		n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0

## 4. Comments:

- 4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Britt is an amazing TA!! She is extremely knowledgeable about the material and genuinely cares about student learning! She is always a great resource and makes her students feel comfortable in reaching out to her. Britt also cares about her students' physical and mental health and assists them in every way possible! She is one of the best TAs I have had at UCLA!!
  - Strengths: expanded on course material, went into depth on subjects discussed during lectures, and gave great understanding on the judgment of exams.  
Weakness: no weakness

# Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO  
 Name of the instructor: B. FLORKIEWICZ  
 Name of the course: 20W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1L: HUMAN EVOLUTION  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge â€” The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=2	av.=9.00
2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern â€” The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=2	av.=9.00
2.3) Organization â€” Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=2	av.=9.00
2.4) Scope â€” The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=2	av.=9.00
2.5) Interaction â€” Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=2	av.=9.00
2.6) Communication Skills â€” The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=2	av.=9.00
2.7) Value â€” The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=2	av.=8.50
2.8) Overall â€” What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=2	av.=9.00

## 3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low									High	n=2	av.=2.00
3.2) Workload/pace was	Too Slow									Too Much	n=2	av.=2.00
3.3) Integration of section with course was	Poor									Excellent	n=2	av.=3.00
3.4) Texts, required readings	Poor									Excellent	n=2	av.=2.00
3.5) Homework assignments	Poor									Excellent	n=2	av.=2.50
3.6) Graded materials, examinations	Poor									Excellent	n=2	av.=2.00
3.7) Lecture presentations	Poor									Excellent	n=2	av.=3.00
3.8) Class discussions	Poor									Excellent	n=2	av.=3.00