





B. FLORKIEWICZ
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 21W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1H: HUMAN EVOLUTION
 No. of responses = 10
 Enrollment = 16
 Response Rate = 62.5%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never Very High or Always	n=10 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never Very High or Always	n=10 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never Very High or Always	n=10 av.=8.9 md=9 dev.=0.32
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never Very High or Always	n=10 av.=8.9 md=9 dev.=0.32
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never Very High or Always	n=10 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never Very High or Always	n=10 av.=8.9 md=9 dev.=0.32
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never Very High or Always	n=10 av.=8.8 md=9 dev.=0.42
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never Very High or Always	n=10 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low High	n=10 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0.47
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow Too Much	n=10 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor Excellent	n=10 av.=2.9 md=3 dev.=0.32

3.4)	Texts, required readings		n=10 av.=2.3 md=2 dev.=0.48
3.5)	Homework assignments		n=10 av.=2.4 md=2 dev.=0.52
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations		n=10 av.=2.3 md=2 dev.=0.67
3.7)	Lecture presentations		n=10 av.=2.4 md=2 dev.=0.52
3.8)	Class discussions		n=10 av.=2.6 md=3 dev.=0.52

4. Comments:

- 4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Brit was awesome! So passionate and kind always
 - Brit was by far the greatest TA I have had! I love how devoted and interested she is in her work and learning about anthropology. Also how relatable she was also made going to class much more appealing. Keep being enthusiastic and sharing your primate stories! best of luck, you're doing great!
 - Britt is an incredibly amazing TA and my comments cannot fully encapsulate how wonderful she is. Firstly, she is incredibly knowledgeable and passionate about anthropology. Her contagious excitement enriches this course through stories of her time at the zoo and specific examples. In addition, she is organized with discussion notes, her TA site, and clarity of explanations. To top off her wonderfulness, she is a welcoming and helpful resources to everyone. In particular, it is immensely helpful how active and responsive she is in the class's groupme. In sum, Britt is a spectacular TA!
 - Britt is hands down one of the best TAs we have ever had. She is extremely knowledgeable and passionate about the material and often expands upon class concepts with cool facts or anecdotes. She made her discussion easy to follow and I always felt a warm welcome in her office hours. My favorite thing Britt did was stay super active in our GroupMe. She was always quick to respond to all of our questions, even when they overloaded around exam dates. She is passionate about the content and passionate about our understanding of the material. She truly cares about her students and that is clearly shown in her efforts and offers to help us in our future endeavors. She is going to make a great professor if that is the path she chooses.
 - Britt was a great TA! I hope she becomes a professor one day because she explains things so passionately and she clearly cares a lot about anthro. Her explanations of course concepts made them so interesting and her examples were really fun. I definitely did most of my learning for my class in discussion section.
 - Britt was a wonderful TA and was always very entertaining as she was so passionate about the material. She was also extremely dedicated to our learning and was willing to answer our questions at practically any point. Britt made me enjoy the material of this class more and definitely enhanced my overall learning.
 - I thought that my TA was very knowledgeable and passionate about the subject, so the way she explained it was interesting and engaging. The only problem with discussion doesn't necessarily lie with

her, but some of the information for certain weeks were boring and sometimes she merely regurgitated what was already mentioned in lecture. I do like how she made section notes for discussion every week in case you couldn't show. Moreover, when we had exams every other Friday, she was the only TA in the Anthro groupme and answered all the questions she could people inevitably had, which is very impressive.

- The TA was great and was very open to answering questions and honestly went above and beyond to make sure everybody felt prepared and understood the material. Seriously really awesome!
- amazing, sweet, nice, very approachable. The very best!
- britt was personable, welcoming, fun, exciting, and very empathetic. she made me want to go to section each week. she was SO helpful and I really appreciated how responsive she was.

Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO
 Name of the instructor: B. FLORKIEWICZ
 Name of the course: 21W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1H: HUMAN EVOLUTION
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=10	av.=9.00
2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=10	av.=9.00
2.3) Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.90
2.4) Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.90
2.5) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=10	av.=9.00
2.6) Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.90
2.7) Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.80
2.8) Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=10	av.=9.00

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low									High	n=10	av.=2.00
3.2) Workload/pace was	Too Slow									Too Much	n=10	av.=2.00
3.3) Integration of section with course was	Poor									Excellent	n=10	av.=2.90
3.4) Texts, required readings	Poor									Excellent	n=10	av.=2.30
3.5) Homework assignments	Poor									Excellent	n=10	av.=2.40
3.6) Graded materials, examinations	Poor									Excellent	n=10	av.=2.30
3.7) Lecture presentations	Poor									Excellent	n=10	av.=2.40
3.8) Class discussions	Poor									Excellent	n=10	av.=2.60