**UCLA | EIP**

B. FLORKIEWICZ
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
20W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1A: HUMAN EVOLUTION
No. of responses = 4
Enrollment = 5
Response Rate = 80%

## 1. Background Information:

1.1) Year in School:

		n=4
Freshman	3	
Sophomore	1	
Junior	0	
Senior	0	
Graduate	0	
Other	0	

1.2) UCLA GPA:

		n=4
Below 2.0	0	
2.0 - 2.49	0	
2.5 - 2.99	2	
3.0 - 3.49	0	
3.5+	1	
Not Established	1	

1.3) Expected Grade:

		n=4
A	1	
B	1	
C	1	
D	0	
F	0	
P	0	
NP	0	
?	1	

1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?

		n=4
Major	2	
Related Field	0	
G.E.	2	
None	0	

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge â€" The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.

Very Low or Never — Very High or Always
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=4
av.=8.75
md=9
dev.=0.5

2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern â€" The T.A. was concerned about student learning.

Very Low or Never — Very High or Always
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=4
av.=8.75
md=9
dev.=0.5

2.3) Organization â€" Section presentations were well prepared and organized.

Very Low or Never — Very High or Always
0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=4
av.=8
md=8.5
dev.=1.41

**2.4)** Scope â€" The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.

Very Low or Never — Very High or Always
0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=4
av.=8.5
md=9
dev.=1

2.5) Interaction â€" Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.

Very Low or Never — Very High or Always
0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=4
av.=8.5
md=9
dev.=1

2.6) Communication Skills â€" The teaching assistant had good communication skills.

Very Low or Never — Very High or Always
0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=4
av.=8.25
md=8.5
dev.=0.96

2.7) Value â€" The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.

Very Low or Never — Very High or Always
0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=4
av.=8.5
md=9
dev.=1

2.8) Overall â€" What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?

Very Low or Never — Very High or Always
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=4
av.=8.75
md=9
dev.=0.5

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)

Low — High
0 3 1
1 2 3

n=4
av.=2.25
md=2
dev.=0.5

3.2) Workload/pace was

Too Slow — Too Much
0 4 0
1 2 3

n=4
av.=2
md=2
dev.=0

3.3) Integration of section with course was

Poor — Excellent
0 1 3
1 2 3

n=4
av.=2.75
md=3
dev.=0.5

3.4) Texts, required readings

Poor — Excellent
1 3 0
1 2 3

n=4
av.=1.75
md=2
dev.=0.5

3.5) **Homework assignments**

Poor — Excellent

n=4
av.=2.75
md=3
dev.=0.5

3.6) **Graded materials, examinations**

Poor — Excellent

n=4
av.=2.25
md=2
dev.=0.5

3.7) **Lecture presentations**

Poor — Excellent

n=4
av.=2.75
md=3
dev.=0.5

3.8) **Class discussions**

Poor — Excellent

n=4
av.=3
md=3
dev.=0

---

4. Comments:

4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.

- LOVED MY TA! She's amazing. She's so knowledgeable and she's so enthusiastic about Anthro. Loved her energy. She is really good at explaining things and I would never feel bad reaching out for help because I knew she would be there to help me out and provide me with the best explanations. She provided us with useful worksheets and she's very chill. She's not intimidating, which made me want to go to my discussion sections. It was hard to skip a discussion section because I would feel that if I did, I would miss out on so much valuable information (that's just how good she is at presenting information). Great TA!!!!!!

- Pro:
  Uses good and relevant concrete examples from her outside job to expand and clarify course material. Engages students with said concrete examples.

  Con:
  Could slow down oral presentation/speaking pace in general as I had a slightly difficult time catching up to the concepts that she expanded on.

- Very kind and knowledgeable about the material. Always asks if we understand the material and provide analogies that allow students to really comprehend the bigger ideas of the course. A very engaging and passionate TA.

- While I struggled to understand the materials presented during the lectures, Brittany ensured every question I had was answered and carefully broken down. The fifty minutes spent in the discussion section was dedicated to ensuring the students understand the materials presented in the lecture and then making sure we understood the more difficult, hard-to-understand subjects. She was available at all times to field questions and answer any concerns I had regarding the course. Brittany's expertise in all things primates made it very easy for me to grasp the concepts at hand, although I still struggled in the exams (due to the professor's difficult test formatting, not because of Brittany's instruction). Despite my struggle, I have greatly enjoyed learning under Brittany and believe she has done an absolutely incredible job teaching me all about Human Evolution.

Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO

Name of the instructor: B. FLORKIEWICZ

Name of the course: 20W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1A: HUMAN EVOLUTION
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge â€" The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.
Very Low or Never — Very High or Always | n=4 | av.=8.75

2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern â€" The T.A. was concerned about student learning.
Very Low or Never — Very High or Always | n=4 | av.=8.75

2.3) Organization â€" Section presentations were well prepared and organized.
Very Low or Never — Very High or Always | n=4 | av.=8.00

2.4) Scope â€" The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.
Very Low or Never — Very High or Always | n=4 | av.=8.50

2.5) Interaction â€" Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.
Very Low or Never — Very High or Always | n=4 | av.=8.50

2.6) Communication Skills â€" The teaching assistant had good communication skills.
Very Low or Never — Very High or Always | n=4 | av.=8.25

2.7) Value â€" The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.
Very Low or Never — Very High or Always | n=4 | av.=8.50

2.8) Overall â€" What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?
Very Low or Never — Very High or Always | n=4 | av.=8.75

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)
Low — High | n=4 | av.=2.25

3.2) Workload/pace was
Too Slow — Too Much | n=4 | av.=2.00

3.3) Integration of section with course was
Poor — Excellent | n=4 | av.=2.75

3.4) Texts, required readings
Poor — Excellent | n=4 | av.=1.75

3.5) Homework assignments
Poor — Excellent | n=4 | av.=2.75

3.6) Graded materials, examinations
Poor — Excellent | n=4 | av.=2.25

3.7) Lecture presentations
Poor — Excellent | n=4 | av.=2.75

3.8) Class discussions
Poor — Excellent | n=4 | av.=3.00