




B. FLORKIEWICZ
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 18W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1K: HUMAN EVOLUTION
 No. of responses = 10
 Enrollment = 20
 Response Rate = 50%


Survey Results

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?




2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.		n=10 av.=8.8 md=9 dev.=0.42
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.		n=10 av.=8.4 md=9 dev.=1.9
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.		n=10 av.=8.3 md=9 dev.=1.89
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.		n=10 av.=8.7 md=9 dev.=0.95
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.		n=10 av.=8.5 md=9 dev.=1.58
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.		n=10 av.=8.5 md=9 dev.=1.58
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.		n=10 av.=8.3 md=9 dev.=2.21
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?		n=10 av.=8.7 md=9 dev.=0.95


3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)		n=10 av.=1.7 md=2 dev.=0.67
3.2)	Workload/pace was		n=10 av.=2.1 md=2 dev.=0.32
3.3)	Integration of section with course was		n=10 av.=2.8 md=3 dev.=0.42
3.4)	Texts, required readings		n=10 av.=2.3 md=2 dev.=0.48
3.5)	Homework assignments		n=10 av.=2.3 md=2 dev.=0.67


3.6) Graded materials, examinations



3.7) Lecture presentations



3.8) Class discussions



Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO
 Name of the instructor: B. FLORKIEWICZ
 Name of the course: 18W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1K: HUMAN EVOLUTION
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never												Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.80
2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never												Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.40
2.3) Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never												Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.30
2.4) Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never												Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.70
2.5) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never												Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.50
2.6) Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never												Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.50
2.7) Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never												Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.30
2.8) Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never												Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.70

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low												High	n=10	av.=1.70
3.2) Workload/pace was	Too Slow												Too Much	n=10	av.=2.10
3.3) Integration of section with course was	Poor												Excellent	n=10	av.=2.80
3.4) Texts, required readings	Poor												Excellent	n=10	av.=2.30
3.5) Homework assignments	Poor												Excellent	n=10	av.=2.30
3.6) Graded materials, examinations	Poor												Excellent	n=10	av.=2.30
3.7) Lecture presentations	Poor												Excellent	n=10	av.=2.90
3.8) Class discussions	Poor												Excellent	n=10	av.=2.70

Comments Report

4. Comments:

- 4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Brittani was a great TA and facilitated great discussion. She synthesized class material, went over homework answers, and related relevant examples to class material to help understanding. She was kind and taught the material in a great way.
 - Brittany is very concern with her students knowing the material. She explains the material thoroughly and in ways that mostly everyone can understand. She is a very relate able person and seeks to make you feel comfortable. She provides you with options in regards to additional office hours. Excellent TA!
 - Brittany was awesome really knowledgeable and passionate! so glad I got to have her as a TA!
 - Strengths- very enthusiastic and engaging. talked about her own research, which is really fascinating and supplements the material quite well.
Weaknesses- not really any!