





B. FLORKIEWICZ
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 20S: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1D: HUMAN EVOLUTION
 No. of responses = 5
 Enrollment = 17
 Response Rate = 29.41%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?




2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0


3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low		High	n=5 av.=1.8 md=2 dev.=0.45
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=5 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor		Excellent	n=5 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor		Excellent	n=5 av.=2.6 md=3 dev.=0.55
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor		Excellent	n=5 av.=2.8 md=3 dev.=0.45


3.6) Graded materials, examinations



3.7) Lecture presentations



3.8) Class discussions



4. Comments:

4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.

- Brittany is an amazing TA who did all she could to answer my questions and was great at communication. Her discussions were very helpful and she is friendly making me comfortable to ask questions and be present. She presented herself in a real light and I appreciate her for going the extra mile :)
- Brittany is an incredible TA. It was a pleasure being in her discussion section because she was always extremely helpful and engaging. Brittany expanded her time with helping us even outside of the discussion section and made reasonable accommodations considering the concerning events occurring at the moment.
- Brittany was by far the best TA I have had at UCLA. She integrated the course material while being very engaging. She cared about the students and their mastery of the course. I encourage anyone to have her as a TA. She is very knowledgeable and a very good outlet in higher education.
- Great TA!
- TA Florkiewicz is one of the few best TAs I had. She has a great understanding of the material and what we need to know for the class. She puts in so much hard work and effort to make sure students understand the material. On top of that, her communication skills as a TA is really great because she responds as soon as possible, constantly updating students on anything new with the class, and is always supportive of students. She also makes really organized discussion notes that I find really helpful, and on top of that, she creates additional notes/guides/practice problems so students have more resources to understand. Overall, a great TA !

Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO
 Name of the instructor: B. FLORKIEWICZ
 Name of the course: 20S: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1D: HUMAN EVOLUTION
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00
2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00
2.3) Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00
2.4) Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00
2.5) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00
2.6) Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00
2.7) Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00
2.8) Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low									High	n=5	av.=1.80
3.2) Workload/pace was	Too Slow									Too Much	n=5	av.=2.00
3.3) Integration of section with course was	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=3.00
3.4) Texts, required readings	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=2.60
3.5) Homework assignments	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=2.80
3.6) Graded materials, examinations	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=2.80
3.7) Lecture presentations	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=2.80
3.8) Class discussions	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=3.00