





B. FLORKIEWICZ
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 21W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1F: HUMAN EVOLUTION
 No. of responses = 5
 Enrollment = 15
 Response Rate = 33.33%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?








2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.		n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.		n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.		n=5 av.=8.6 md=9 dev.=0.89
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.		n=5 av.=8.6 md=9 dev.=0.55
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.		n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.		n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.		n=5 av.=8.8 md=9 dev.=0.45
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?		n=5 av.=8.8 md=9 dev.=0.45

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)		n=5 av.=1.6 md=2 dev.=0.55
3.2)	Workload/pace was		n=5 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.3)	Integration of section with course was		n=5 av.=2.8 md=3 dev.=0.45

3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor		Excellent	n=5 av.=2.4 md=2 dev.=0.55
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor		Excellent	n=5 av.=2.6 md=3 dev.=0.55
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor		Excellent	n=5 av.=2.4 md=3 dev.=0.89
3.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor		Excellent	n=5 av.=2.4 md=3 dev.=0.89
3.8)	Class discussions	Poor		Excellent	n=5 av.=2.8 md=3 dev.=0.45

4. Comments:

- 4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Britt has been my favorite TA by far (and I've had many). She is extremely helpful and approachable and genuinely wants to help students exceed. She is overall just an amazing and intelligent person and she doesn't have any weaknesses. I give her a 1000/10 rating.
 - Britt was incredibly kind and caring and very knowledgeable. She offered to help at all times and was just overall an amazing TA. I will say that I think one way to make the section more engaging would be if there was a worksheet or "polls" or some kind of interaction.
 - I really enjoyed taking Anthro 1, and was so glad to have had Britt as my TA. I've never had a TA that was so involved in student learning outside of section hours. Britt was able to answer any questions we had within minutes and was so eager to share her love of the course material with us - it was very contagious! She definitely kept us in line with her grading, but she was always considerate to student mistakes and would help the class learn from them as much as possible.
 - I thought that this teaching assistant was one of the best that I have ever had and she knew the material the she was teaching very well.
 - This section was great overall! The T.A. did a great job organizing each section and it was clear that she cared about our understanding of course material and success in the class. There are no weaknesses to be addressed.

Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO
 Name of the instructor: B. FLORKIEWICZ
 Name of the course: 21W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1F: HUMAN EVOLUTION
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00
2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00
2.3) Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.60
2.4) Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.60
2.5) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00
2.6) Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=9.00
2.7) Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.80
2.8) Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.80

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low									High	n=5	av.=1.60
3.2) Workload/pace was	Too Slow									Too Much	n=5	av.=2.00
3.3) Integration of section with course was	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=2.80
3.4) Texts, required readings	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=2.40
3.5) Homework assignments	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=2.60
3.6) Graded materials, examinations	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=2.40
3.7) Lecture presentations	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=2.40
3.8) Class discussions	Poor									Excellent	n=5	av.=2.80