





B. FLORKIEWICZ
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 20W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1L: HUMAN EVOLUTION
 No. of responses = 2
 Enrollment = 5
 Response Rate = 40%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:



1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge “ The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern “ The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.3)	Organization “ Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.4)	Scope “ The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.5)	Interaction “ Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.6)	Communication Skills “ The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.7)	Value “ The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=2 av.=8.5 md=8.5 dev.=0.71
2.8)	Overall “ What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=2 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low		High	n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0

3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.71
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0
3.8)	Class discussions	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0

4. Comments:

- 4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Britt is an amazing TA!! She is extremely knowledgeable about the material and genuinely cares about student learning! She is always a great resource and makes her students feel comfortable in reaching out to her. Britt also cares about her students' physical and mental health and assists them in every way possible! She is one of the best TAs I have had at UCLA!!
 - Strengths: expanded on course material, went into depth on subjects discussed during lectures, and gave great understanding on the judgment of exams.
Weakness: no weakness

Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO
 Name of the instructor: B. FLORKIEWICZ
 Name of the course: 20W: ANTHRO 1 DIS 1L: HUMAN EVOLUTION
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

Item	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n	av.
2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	0	2	n=2	av.=9.00
2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	0	2	n=2	av.=9.00
2.3) Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	0	2	n=2	av.=9.00
2.4) Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	0	2	n=2	av.=9.00
2.5) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	0	2	n=2	av.=9.00
2.6) Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	0	2	n=2	av.=9.00
2.7) Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	1	1	n=2	av.=8.50
2.8) Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	0	2	n=2	av.=9.00

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

Item	Low / Too Slow / Poor	High / Too Much / Excellent	n	av.
3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	1	1	n=2	av.=2.00
3.2) Workload/pace was	1	1	n=2	av.=2.00
3.3) Integration of section with course was	0	2	n=2	av.=3.00
3.4) Texts, required readings	1	1	n=2	av.=2.00
3.5) Homework assignments	1	1	n=2	av.=2.50
3.6) Graded materials, examinations	1	1	n=2	av.=2.00
3.7) Lecture presentations	0	2	n=2	av.=3.00
3.8) Class discussions	0	2	n=2	av.=3.00