B. FLORKIEWICZ
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report

17F: ANTHRO 124S DIS 1F: HUMAN SEXUAL BEHAVR
No. of responses = 14
Enrollment = 20
Response Rate = 70%

Survey Results

1. Background Information:

1.1) Year in School:



n=14

1.2) UCLA GPA:



n=14

1.3) Expected Grade:



n=14

1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



n=13

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.



n=14
av.=8.93
md=9
dev.=0.27

2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern – The T. A. was concerned about student learning.

n=14
av.=8.93
md=9
dev.=0.27

2.3) Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.

n=14
av.=8.71
md=9
dev.=0.47

2.4) Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.

n=14
av.=8.93
md=9
dev.=0.27

2.5) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.



n=14
av.=8.93
md=9
dev.=0.27

2.6) Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.



n=14
av.=8.86
md=9
dev.=0.36

2.7) Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.



n=14
av.=8.86
md=9
dev.=0.36

2.8) Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?

n=13
av.=8.92
md=9
dev.=0.28

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)



n=14
av.=2.14
md=2
dev.=0.66

3.2) Workload/pace was



n=14
av.=2
md=2
dev.=0

3.3) Integration of section with course was



n=14
av.=2.93
md=3
dev.=0.27

3.4) Texts, required readings



n=12
av.=2.67
md=3
dev.=0.49
ab.=2

3.5) Homework assignments

n=9
av.=2.78
md=3
dev.=0.44
ab.=5

3.6) Graded materials, examinations

Poor Excellent

n=11
av.=2.73
md=3
dev.=0.47
ab.=3

3.7) Lecture presentations

Poor Excellent

n=12
av.=2.92
md=3
dev.=0.29
ab.=2

3.8) Class discussions

Poor Excellent

n=13
av.=2.92
md=3
dev.=0.28
ab.=1

Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO
Name of the instructor: B. FLORKIEWICZ
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) 17F: ANTHRO 124S DIS 1F: HUMAN SEXUAL BEHAVR

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:



2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=14	av.=8.93
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=14	av.=8.93
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=14	av.=8.71
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=14	av.=8.93
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=14	av.=8.93
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=14	av.=8.86
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=14	av.=8.86
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=13	av.=8.92

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:



3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	High	n=14	av.=2.14
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow	Too Much	n=14	av.=2.00
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor	Excellent	n=14	av.=2.93
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor	Excellent	n=12	av.=2.67
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor	Excellent	n=9	av.=2.78
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor	Excellent	n=11	av.=2.73
3.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor	Excellent	n=12	av.=2.92
3.8)	Class discussions	Poor	Excellent	n=13	av.=2.92

Comments Report

4. Comments:

4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.

- Always felt that coming to discussion would be beneficial. Really helped expand on the topics and she did an excellent job in explaining difficult topics. She also made the discussions fun and interactive.
- Britney does a great job at making material clearer, and cares about students learning in addition to understanding material.
- Britt was an amazing TA for Evolution of Human Sexual Behavior. She answered our questions really well each week and communicated complex ideas to us very clearly, often more clearly than they had been in lecture. In addition she has a great sense of humor which really kept people engaged. Her genuine desire to help people learn really comes through and I appreciated that a lot!
- Brittany always knew how to explain the course material in other ways other than how they were presented in lecture. This made understanding unfamiliar and difficult concepts easier to grasp.
- Brittany was a terrific TA who was always well-prepared and gave very helpful clarification for a course that was at times very confusing. She gave helpful examples, answered questions specifically and thoroughly, and was very patient when we as students were confused.
- Brittany was an excellent instructor for discussion section because she expanded on material that was covered in class. She was able to create an environment where students felt comfortable asking questions and could address our concerns about the class. 10/10 would recommend.
- Brittany was awesome, she is very knowledgeable in the subject and made section enjoyable.
- Brittney was AMAZING. Best Teaching Assistant. Cares about the students understandings of the course and also wants very funny.
- Seemed genuinely concerned with student's grades. Very approachable. I thought having students submit questions every week based on lectures or readings was very smart. However, I feel as if not all topics in questions were properly addressed. Got better about that in the last few weeks of the quarter. Spoke very clearly and passion for subject showed through immensely. I think, in the future, it might be more beneficial to just reiterate and summarize the key points and main ideas from lectures and readings to help students better understand the important things they need to know.
- She is extremely knowledgeable about the subject and she was able to answer all of our questions that we had with insight. I thorougly enjoyed her class and she is a very honest and uplifting person to have as my TA. When I take other anthropology courses in the future I hope that she will be my TA.
- She was awesome! She really made herself available to the class whenever we needed. She answered emails in a timeley fashion and really helped us to understand the material from lecture.
- She was very helpful, I would love her as my TA again. She knew the material and if you didn't understand she would try a different way to explain it. I would recommend her, she very nice and helpful.
- Would make an amazing professor & lead great sections.
- great class